Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/676,822	WHITTED, WILLIAM H.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dameon E. Levi	2841
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) <u>Dameon E. Levi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jung-hua Kuo (Reg. No. 41,918)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 November 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 1-22		
Prior art documents discussed: US5788211,US4630175, US6599136, US6804123		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	r WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representavive agreed to make substantive amendments to the independent claims 1,13, and 17 in order to patentably distinguish the claimd from the art of record, as well, as the art mentioned above. The amendments include the limitations of a space formed by the spacers, electronics components and the base portion to facilitate cooling of the electronics component.